STA 360/602L: MODULE 4.3

MULTIVARIATE NORMAL MODEL III

DR. OLANREWAJU MICHAEL AKANDE



READING COMPREHENSION EXAMPLE

- Twenty-two children are given a reading comprehension test before and after receiving a particular instruction method.
 - Y_{i1} : pre-instructional score for student *i*.
 - Y_{i2}: post-instructional score for student *i*.
- Vector of observations for each student: $Y_i = (Y_{i1}, Y_{i2})^T$.
- Clearly, we should expect some correlation between Y_{i1} and Y_{i2} .



READING COMPREHENSION EXAMPLE

- Questions of interest:
 - Do students improve in reading comprehension on average?
 - If so, by how much?
 - Can we predict post-test score from pre-test score? How correlated are they?
 - If we have students with missing pre-test scores, can we predict the scores?
- We will hold off on the last question until we have learned about missing data.



READING COMPREHENSION EXAMPLE

- Since we have bivariate continuous responses for each student, and test scores are often normally distributed, we can use a bivariate normal model.
- Model the data as $m{Y_i} = (Y_{i1}, Y_{i2})^T \sim \mathcal{N}_2(m{ heta}, \Sigma)$, that is,

$$oldsymbol{Y} = egin{pmatrix} Y_{i1} \ Y_{i2} \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[oldsymbol{ heta} = egin{pmatrix} heta_1 \ heta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma = egin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}
ight].$$

- We can answer the first two questions of interest by looking at the posterior distribution of $\theta_2 \theta_1$.
- The correlation between Y_1 and Y_2 is

$$ho = rac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}$$

so we can answer the third question by looking at the posterior distribution of ρ , which we have once we have posterior samples of Σ .



READING EXAMPLE: PRIOR ON MEAN

- Clearly, we first need to set the hyperparameters μ₀ and Λ₀ in π(θ) = N₂(μ₀, Λ₀), based on prior belief.
- For this example, both tests were actually designed apriori to have a mean of 50, so, we can set $\mu_0 = (\mu_{0(1)}, \mu_{0(2)})^T = (50, 50)^T$.
- µ₀ = (0,0)^T is also often a common choice when there is no prior guess,
 especially when there is enough data to "drown out" the prior guess.
- Next, we need to set values for elements of

$$\Lambda_0 = egin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} \ \lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

- It is quite reasonable to believe apriori that the true means will most likely lie in the interval [25, 75] with high probability (perhaps 0.95?), since individual test scores should lie in the interval [0, 100].
- Recall that for any normal distribution, 95% of the density will lie within two standard deviations of the mean.

READING EXAMPLE: PRIOR ON MEAN

• Therefore, we can set

$$egin{aligned} &\mu_{0(1)}\pm 2\sqrt{\lambda_{11}}=(25,75) \ \ \Rightarrow \ \ 50\pm 2\sqrt{\lambda_{11}}=(25,75) \ \ \Rightarrow \ \ 2\sqrt{\lambda_{11}}=25 \ \ \Rightarrow \ \ \lambda_{11}=\left(rac{25}{2}
ight)^2pprox 156. \end{aligned}$$

- Similarly, set $\lambda_{22} \approx 156$.
- Finally, we expect some correlation between µ₀₍₁₎ and µ₀₍₂₎, but suppose we don't know exactly how strong. We can set the prior correlation to 0.5.

$$\lambda \Rightarrow 0.5 = rac{\lambda_{12}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{11}}\sqrt{\lambda_{22}}} = rac{\lambda_{12}}{156} \ \ \Rightarrow \ \ \lambda_{12} = 156 imes 0.5 = 78.$$

Thus,

$$\pi(oldsymbol{ heta}) = \mathcal{N}_2\left(oldsymbol{\mu}_0 = egin{pmatrix} 50\50 \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda_0 = egin{pmatrix} 156 & 78\78 & 156 \end{pmatrix}
ight).$$



READING EXAMPLE: PRIOR ON COVARIANCE

- Next we need to set the hyperparameters ν₀ and S₀ in π(Σ) = IW₂(ν₀, S₀), based on prior belief.
- First, let's start with a prior guess Σ_0 for Σ .
- Again, since individual test scores should lie in the interval [0, 100], we should set Σ₀ so that values outside [0, 100] are highly unlikely.
- Just as we did with Λ_0 , we can use that idea to set the elements of Σ_0

$$\Sigma_0 = egin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}^{(0)} & \sigma_{12}^{(0)} \ \sigma_{21}^{(0)} & \sigma_{22}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$$

The identity matrix is also often a common choice for Σ₀ when there is no prior guess, especially when there is enough data to "drown out" the prior guess.



READING EXAMPLE: PRIOR ON COVARIANCE

• Therefore, we can set

- Similarly, set $\sigma_{22}^{(0)} \approx 625.$
- Again, we expect some correlation between Y₁ and Y₂, but suppose we don't know exactly how strong. We can set the prior correlation to 0.5.

$$\Rightarrow 0.5 = rac{\sigma_{12}^{(0)}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{11}^{(0)}}\sqrt{\sigma_{22}^{(0)}}} = rac{\sigma_{12}^{(0)}}{625} \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \sigma_{12}^{(0)} = 625 imes 0.5 = 312.5.$$

Thus,

$$\Sigma_0 = egin{pmatrix} 625 & 312.5 \ 312.5 & 625 \end{pmatrix}$$



READING EXAMPLE: PRIOR ON COVARIANCE

• Recall that if we are not at all confident on a prior value for Σ , but we have a prior guess Σ_0 , we can set

•
$$u_0 = p + 2$$
, so that the $\mathbb{E}[\Sigma] = rac{1}{
u_0 - p - 1} S_0$ is finite.

• $\boldsymbol{S}_0 = \Sigma_0$

so that Σ is only loosely centered around Σ_0 .

- Thus, we can set
 - $\nu_0 = p + 2 = 2 + 2 = 4$
 - $\boldsymbol{S}_0 = \Sigma_0$

so that we have

$$\pi(\Sigma) = \mathcal{IW}_2\left(
u_0 = 4, \Sigma_0 = egin{pmatrix} 625 & 312.5\ 312.5 & 625 \end{pmatrix}
ight).$$



READING EXAMPLE: DATA

Now, to the data (finally!)

Y <- as.matrix(dget("http://www2.stat.duke.edu/~pdh10/FCBS/Inline/Y.reading"))
dim(Y)</pre>

[1] 22 2

head(Y)

##		pretest	posttest
##	[1,]	59	77
##	[2,]	43	39
##	[3,]	34	46
##	[4,]	32	26
##	[5,]	42	38
##	[6,]	38	43

summary(Y)

##	pretest		pos	posttest	
##	Min.	:28.00	Min.	:26.00	
##	1st Qu	.:34.25	1st Qu	.:43.75	
##	Median	:44.00	Median	:52.00	
##	Mean	:47.18	Mean	:53.86	
##	3rd Qu	.:58.00	3rd Qu	.:60.00	
##	Max.	:72.00	Max.	:86.00	



READING EXAMPLE: DATA



pre-test

READING EXAMPLE: DATA



This is just some EDA. We will write the Gibbs sampler and answer the questions of interest in the next module.

12 / 13

WHAT'S NEXT?

MOVE ON TO THE READINGS FOR THE NEXT MODULE!

